

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,234	12/06/2001	Masatoshi Anma	50090-458	4496
7:	590 11/21/2002			
McDermott, Will & Emery 600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096			EXAMINER	
			SEFER, AHMED N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2826		
		DATE MAILED: 11/21/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	App_ant(s)			
· Office Action Commence	10/003,234	ANMA, MASATOSHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	A. Sefer	2826			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on th cov r she t with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 S	September 2002 .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a	n)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	phoney under 50 c.c.c. 3 110(c	, (d) 51 (i).			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).			
<ul> <li>a)    The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)    Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2826

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on 9/3/02 has been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 3. Claims 1-5 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lee US Patent 6,277,705.

Lee discloses (see figs. 1 and 2 and col. 3, lines 11- 15) a semiconductor device comprising a substrate 10; a first interconnection 12 formed on said substrate; a first dielectric film 14 covering said first interconnection; an opening section 18 extending from a surface of the first dielectric film to said first interconnection, said opening section being formed in said first dielectric film; a plug 20 formed in said opening section and electrically connected to said first interconnection; a second interconnection 22 having a barrier metal layer and an aluminum interconnection formed on the barrier metal layer (as in claims 2 and 4) formed over said plug; a predetermined void 26 between said

Application/Control Number: 10/003,234

Art Unit: 2826

plug and said second interconnection; and a second dielectric film 24 covering said second interconnection.

As to the formation of the said void by various means recited in claims 2-5, "product by process" claims are directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685 and In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, 966. Therefore, the way the product was made does not carry any patentable weight as long as the claims are directed to a device. Further, note that the applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above case law makes clear. Also see MPEP 2113.

Regarding claims 8-12, it is inherent to apply a voltage to an interconnection to cause an electromigration thereby establishing a communication.

4. Claims 6, 7, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lee US Patent 6,277,705.

Lee discloses (see figs. 1 and 2 and col. 3, lines 11- 15) a semiconductor device comprising a substrate 10; a first interconnection 12 formed on said substrate; a first dielectric film 14 covering said first interconnection; an opening section 18 extending from a surface of the first dielectric film to said first interconnection, said opening section being formed in said first dielectric film; a plug 20 formed in said opening section and electrically connected to said first interconnection; second interconnection 22 formed on said first dielectric film in the vicinity of said plug; a second dielectric film 24 covering said second interconnection; and a predetermined void 26 in said second dielectric film



Application/Control Number: 10/003,234

Art Unit: 2826

and located at a position adjacent to said second interconnection and at a position above said plug.

Regarding claim 7, Lee discloses a second interconnection formed so as to become narrow in the vicinity of said plug.

Regarding claims 13 and 14, it is inherent to apply a voltage to an interconnection to cause an electromigration thereby establishing a communication.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anma et al. US Patent No. 6,319,812 in view of Nakagawa et al. US PG-Pub 2002/0050651.

Anma et al disclose in fig. 1 a semiconductor device comprising a substrate and; a first dielectric film 16 formed on said substrate and having an opening section; a pad 18 formed in the opening section and having conductivity; a first interconnection 20 formed on said first dielectric film such that a portion of the bottom of said first interconnection comes into contact with an upper surface of said pad; a second interconnection 1 formed on said first dielectric film such that a portion of the bottom of said second interconnection does not come into contact with the upper surface of said

Application/Control Number: 10/003,234

Art Unit: 2826

Page 5

pad, said pad being disposed between said first and said second interconnections; and a second dielectric film 2 covering said first and second interconnection, but do not

disclose a predetermined void.

Nakagawa et al disclose in fig. 16 a predetermined void 117 in a dielectric film

107.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the

invention was made to incorporate the teachings Nakagawa et al with the device of

Anma et al, since that would reduce the parasitic capacitance.

Regarding claim 16, Lee discloses a second interconnection formed so as to

become narrow in the vicinity of said plug.

Regarding claims 17 and 18, it is inherent to apply a voltage to an

interconnection to cause an electromigration thereby establishing a communication.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to A. Sefer whose telephone number is (703) 605-1227.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan J Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601.

**ANS** 

November 18, 2002

NATHAN JACYNN

Supervisory patent examiner

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800**